

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/717,684	11/20/2003	Hai H. Trieu	4002-3269/PC775.00	9315		
7590 06/21/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP			SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD			
Bank One Cente	er/Tower	·				
Suite 3700		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
111 Monument Circle			3738			
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C					
	Applicant(s)		e					
	TRIEU, HAI H.							
	Art Unit							
	3738							
the c	orrespondence ad	ldress						
NTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ATION. by be timely filed								
IDONE	the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any	ommunication.						
s, prosecution as to the merits is I1, 453 O.G. 213.								
e. See	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C Action or form PT							
19(a)	-(d) or (f).							
	on No ed in this National	Stage						
ceive	d.							

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/717,68	4	TRIEU, HAI H.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Bruce E. S	inow	3738			
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
WHICHEVER I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR S LONGER, FROM THE MAI may be available under the provisions of 3 FHS from the mailing date of this community is specified above, the maximum statuth in the set or extended period for reply will by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation. ory period will apply and wi 1, by statute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed	on <i>17 April 2006</i> .					
2a) ☐ This action		☐ This action is n	on-final.				
<i>'</i> =	•	· 		secution as to the	e merits is		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cla	ims						
4)⊠ Claim(s)	1-71 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s)	1-71 are subject to restriction	and/or election red	uirement.				
Application Paper	rs						
9)☐ The speci	fication is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)☐ The draw	ing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant	may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacem	ent drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)∐ The oath	or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 (U.S.C. § 119						
a)∐ All b)	dgment is made of a claim for ☐ Some * c)☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).			
	rtified copies of the priority do			N-			
<u> </u>	rtified copies of the priority do				Stono		
	pies of the certified copies of plication from the Internationa	•		eu iii tiiis Nationai	Stage		
•	tached detailed Office action f	•	• • •	ed			
000 010 00		or a not or the corn		•			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Referen			4) Interview Summary				
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/717,684 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

The restriction requirement dated 3/15/06 has been withdrawn, a new requirements follows. Applicant argues that the examination of this application as a whole is "without undue burden on the examiner". It is unclear why applicant believes this application containing 71 claims and 77 figures claiming numerous patentably distinct inventions is not a burden. This application recites a multiplicity of species of systems and methods that would require an unduly extensive and burdensome search.

Each patent is entitled to a single invention.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-34, drawn to method for intervertebral stabilization, classified in class 623, subclass 17.11.
- II. Claims 35-71, drawn to a system for stabilizing a spinal column segment, classified in class 623, subclass 17.12.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product wherein the expandable device is delivered by hand and not mounted on a distal portion of a delivery instrument.

Art Unit: 3738

See claim 1. Additionally, the expandable device could be used in a method wherein it is pre-expanded before insertion in the disc space.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species Requirement

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of systems (note that most embodiments were identified by applicant's specification in the brief description of the drawings):

- 1) figure 1
- 2) figure 9A
- 3) figure 13
- 4) figure 15

Application/Control Number: 10/717,684

Art Unit: 3738

- 5) figure 21
- 6) figure 22
- 7) figure 23
- 8) figure 29
- 10) figure 33
- 11) figure 34
- 12) figure 35A
- 13) figure 38A
- 14) figure 41A
- 15) figure 44A
- 16) figure 48A

Additionally, applicant must elect one of the following patentably distinct approaches:

- 1) posterior
- 2) anterior
- 3) posterior-lateral
- 4) lateral

Additionally, elect a **single** device position as shown in the elected system figure (from above) or a separate single figure. The following are examples of different positions.

1-1) figure 18

Art Unit: 3738

1-2) figure 19

1-3) figure 20

1-4) figure 41A

1-5) figure 44A

The species are independent or distinct because the embodiments vary in structure, function, and/or capabilities; they have patentably distinct elements.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E. Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,684 Page 7

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bes

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER